

FTSC Summit II
Plan of Action and Milestones

#	Task	Responsible	Status:	Due	Comments	BAM Crit Path
1	Draft POA&M to: (1) Collect common assessment criteria and test packages for all HM&ERA Phase II and C5RA surface ship assessments, (2) develop standard assessment criteria and common data collection requirements and procedures. Present POA&M to FTSC BOD with the following. <i>1. What resources necessary to perform job. 2. What additional guidance necessary. 3. What assistance is required. 4. Define the deliverables.</i>	FTSCLANT Lead., FTSCPAC Assist	Complete POA&M Submitted	7/1/2002	<i>FTSCLANT input</i> : FTSCLANT/FTCPAC have met and are developing a strategy to address this action item. A Common Assessment criteria matrix has been developed indicating which procedures are available and which need to be developed. The POA&M for this Action Items has a completion date of Dec 2002. Resource requirements will be identified to CINCLANTFLT as needed. FTSCLANT/FTCPAC are identifying all assessment criteria already in existence to avoid duplication of efforts.	Y
2	Collect assessment criteria and test packages for all HM&ERA Phase I surface ship assessments. Develop standard assessment criteria and common data collection requirements and procedures.	CNSF	I/P	8/15/02 Revised Date Dec 02	Impact is on Surface Ship Depot Avails (Tank and structural inspections, mast inspections etc. performed in CNO Avail's) <i>FTSCLANT input</i> : The context of these COMMENTS is not clear. FTSCs do not get involved in the structural portion of assessments since that falls under the cognizance of SUPSHIPS. FTSCLANT/FTCPAC are not collecting inspection criteria for tanks, voids or structural components only for equipment and systems. For status on FTSC's efforts refer to Action Item #1.	Y
3	Meet with CDR Hawkins (CNO N80) to discuss how we (FLEET's/FTSC's) do 5B Budget	CPF N430WW	C	11/1/02	Met 6/4/02 at CPF. Follow up meeting as necessary after BAM business rules completed	N
4	Draft BAM development business rules	CPF LEAD, CFFC ASSIST		10/1/02	Subject of PR-05 BAM IPT July 30-31	Y
5	Review METCAL/MEASURE and shed what can best be done at regional cal labs.	FTSC's, SWRMC		10/1/02		N

FTSC Summit II
Plan of Action and Milestones

#	Task	Responsible	Status:	Due	Comments	BAM Crit Path
6	Review revised MFT instruction to identify all areas currently being funded with non-1B/5B funds. Coordinate with resource sponsors to move funding to 1B/5B AG/SAG.	CPF LEAD, CFFC ASSIST		10/1/02	PR-05	Y
7	Align LANT and PAC Surface Ship Maintenance philosophy as it applies to common assessments (C5RA & HM&ERA)	Fleets & TYCOMs		7/1/02	CNSF already moving this direction.	Y
8	Define HM&ERA Phase II requirements for Surface Ships. Provide to FTSCs for generation of assessment criteria.	CNSF		7/15/02	Necessary for generation of FTSC requirements for PR-05. FTSCLANT Input : The context of these comments is clear. TYCOMs have already defined all of the systems that could be selected for assessment during a C5RA or HM&ERA. The entire population of systems will be utilized for purposes of developing assessment criteria. The process of selecting which systems actually get assessed at the time of the event is a TYCOM discretion. This ACTION ITEM should address the need for both TYCOMs to generate common and consistent methodology to make PAC and LANT C5RA and HM&ERA similar.	Y
9	Determine phase-in plan to transition FTSC/FISC contracts to SUPSHIP. Provide written transition plans to fleet FMOs.	FTSC's, TYCOM's, SUPSHIP's		7/30/02 Revised Date Oct 02	Shed contracts at the beginning of FY-03. FTSCLANT input FTSCLANT and SUPSHIP Portsmouth initial discussions revealed a series of problems in meeting the intent of this action item. A working group has been assigned to evaluate this issue and make recommendations. To further complicate the evaluation of this issue, every SUPSHIP operates differently and a complete analysis of the impact of shifting contracts to SUPSHIPS will not be fully understood until each region's practices are evaluated.	Y

FTSC Summit II
Plan of Action and Milestones

#	Task	Responsible	Status:	Due	Comments	BAM Crit Path
10	Review and align Fleet Tech Assist (FTA) instructions.	CPF N430WW	I/P	10/1/02	CPF inst 4341.121, CLF 4700	N
11	NAVSEA 05 issue letters to define technical authority to FTSCs per NAVSEA Instruction 5400 series. Differentiate between Tech Assist and ISEA functions for mature and in service systems.	SEA 05		8/1/02	<i><u>FTSCLANT input:</u> The context of this Action Item is not clear. The discussion during the FTSC Summit did not involved differentiating between ISEA and FTSC Functions for mature systems. Instead the revolved around the programmatic aspect of funding Warfare Centers (ISEAs) adequately to support mature systems through decommissioning phase. If sufficient funding was not available to fund the ISEA, and the systems remained on an active status, would it make more sense to find other mechanisms to maintain them? Therefore, the action item should taks NAVSEA, CINCs and TYCOMs to make a programmatic, policy decision on how to support Mature systems with little or no activity of projected design improvements.</i>	N
12	Determine who, if anyone is representing Fleet Maintenance interests on the SEA-04 Distnace Support business rules Working Group	CPF N430WW		7/15/02	Tie in N43's to have inputs <i><u>FTSCLANT input:</u> There is a missing action item that was not captured that requires the TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE function to be cross-referenced between the NICC web Site, Warfare Center Web Sites and FSTCs Web Site.</i>	N
13	Determine time line for completion of SIPRNET installation at WESTPAC detachments.	CPF N430WW	C	6/19/02	Pearl - Aug 02, Yoko -Oct 02, Sasebo-Oct 02, Singapore-Jun 02, Everett-July 02	N
14	Staff the draft joint fleet Distance Support (DS) message with N4, N6 and N43 for concurrence.	CPF/CLF N43	Complete	7/12/02	Draft being routed at Lant/Pac (6/12/02) <i><u>FTSCLANT input :</u> Message Released Jul 02</i>	N

FTSC Summit II
Plan of Action and Milestones

#	Task	Responsible	Status:	Due	Comments	BAM Crit Path
15	Develop plan to transition ARCI installs from FTSC to another activity	CSF	No Action Required	7/30/02	Works with 4B contracts to SUPSHIP. Report out at FTSC BOD mtg. <u>FTSCLANT input:</u> This action item is not correct. There was no requirement to transition ARCI installations from FTSCs to another activity since these installs directly support the training and technical proficiency of FTSCLANT employees. There is no action required on this item other than to explore the possibility to utilizing SUPSHIP as the contract vehicle which is covered under Action Item # 9.	Y
16	Draft joint Fleet policy message mandating that all AIT "Wrench Turning" work be accomplished by CNO availability executing yard.	CPF Lead, CLF		7/26/02	<u>FTSCLANT input:</u> This Action Item is not clear. There was no discussion during the FTSC Summit regarding having all AITs being performed or accomplished by the executing yard during the CNO availability. The discussions revolved around trying to fully load Master Ship Repair (MSR and ABRs) Contracts to the maximum extent possible and trying to get all AIT installs performed during the timeframe allotted for a CNO availability. This Action Item needs to be re-phrased to capture the spirit of the discussions.	N
17	Determine if there is a Fleet policy for Ship usage of available bandwidth. Report out at next FTSC BOD.	CPF/CLF N43		7/22/02	<u>FTSCLANT input:</u> This action item needs further clarification. The issue is not whether there is a policy on bandwidth utilization but whether there should be restrictions for ships in need of help to limit the bandwidth transmissions for purposes of obtaining tele-maintenance support.	N
18	Align functions of Surface Ship Port Engineers	CNSF		TBD	In conjunction with maintenance philosophy alignment. (6/12/02) Port engineer streamline pilot project (CNSF)	N

FTSC Summit II
Plan of Action and Milestones

#	Task	Responsible	Status:	Due	Comments	BAM Crit Path
19	Coordinate Scheduling of next FTSC BOD meeting.	CPF	C This Action Item is not complete until you have a specific date.	6/30/02	FTSC BOD meetings to be held 1 day prior to/after the NAVSEA Commander Forum. <u>FTSCLANT input:</u> FTSCCLANT recommends the next FTSC BOD meeting be scheduled following the next NAVSEA Commander's Forum in the Fall 02.	N
20	Identify the cause(s) and make recommendations to FTSC BOD for resolution of erroneous/incomplete EIC data on CASREPS.	TYCOMS		TBD	Report out at next FTSC BOD	N
21	Connect REMEDY to TMA/TMI once the appropriate filters are in place	TBD		10/1/02	CPF N430WW investigating.	N
22	Make FTSC web sites identical	FTSCLANT Lead., FTSCPAC Assist	I/P	9/1/02	Choose best existing design and modify. Don't re-invent the wheel. <u>FTSCLANT input:</u> FTSCCLANT/FTSCPAC have assigned a Working Group to evaluate the requirements for developing a single Web Site. The initial focus will be on the Technical Assistance function and a secondary effort will focus on restructuring the entire Web Page architecture. There is a missing action item that was not captured that requires the TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE function to be cross referenced between the NICC web Site, Warfare Center Web Sites and FSTCs Web Site. This item should be included under ACTION ITEM # 12	N
23	Obtain input from Fleet units/end users to ensure design of remedy system universal form meets all needs.	FTSCLANT Lead., FTSCPAC Assist		10/1/02	SPAWAR heading working group.	N

FTSC Summit II
Plan of Action and Milestones

#	Task	Responsible	Status:	Due	Comments	BAM Crit Path
24	CNAF Develop policy for assessments	CNAF		10/1/02	Need to assess impact on implementation for PR-05	Y
25	Re-assess PMS responsibilities of FTSC's	FTSC BOD	No Action Required	TBD	Upon completion of FTSC LANT A-76 Study. <u>FTSC LANT input:</u> This issue will not be revisited after A-76 completion. This Action Item is not accurate! The decision was made to retain PMS execution at FTSCs as part of FTSC's functional responsibilities. The outcome of the A-76 will not change this. This not an ACTION ITEM it is a decision. Request this item be removed from the list!	N
26	Roll AEC program at FTSC PAC into HM&ERA.	CNSP N43 (SEA 04L)		10/1/02	Works with common assessment criteria/test packages. Will need to align funding from NAVSEA either by budget based transfer or mission fund. <u>FTSC LANT input:</u> This decision involves NAVSEA 04L since the AEC is funded by NAVSEA and not by SURFPAC. Also, this issue should be addressed as part of the outcome of the recommendations of Action Item # 1 and #2. If AEC is rolled under HM&ERA and the prorated share of continuing to support the AEC is funded by SEA 04, SEA 04 also needs to fund the equivalent share in SURFLANT. (See Item #27)	Y
27	Initiate actions to effect budget based transfer of AEC program funding from NAVSEA to the Fleets.	CPF Lead / SEA 04B		8/30/02	AEC rolls up into HM&ERA: What happens to the funding for the program?	Y
28	Provide sitrep on progress toward LANT ILO merger into FTSC LANT	CLF	I/P	7/30/02		Y